## **Fields on Symmetric Surfaces**

Daniele Panozzo<sup>1,2,4</sup> Yaron Lipman<sup>3</sup> Enrico Puppo<sup>1</sup> Denis Zorin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Genova <sup>2</sup>ETH Zurich <sup>3</sup>Weizmann Institute of Science <sup>4</sup>New York University

## **Appendix: Proofs of statements**

**Proof of Proposition 2.** First, consider a stationary point p of g. As shown [Montgomery and Zippin 1955], there is a neighborhood U of p and a choice of smooth coordinates  $h:U\to\mathbb{R}^2$  system on U such that g in these coordinates is a linear transformation  $A_g^p$ , i.e.  $g=h^{-1}\circ A_g\circ h$ . It follows that Dg(p) has the form  $V(p)A_g^pV(p)^{-1}$  where V(p) is the differential of the transformation h at point p. As  $Dg(p)^2=I$  at a stationary point, it follows that  $(A_g^p)^2=I$ . All such matrices have two eigenvalues, and both its eigenvalues satisfy  $\lambda^2=1$ .

Orientation-preserving g. In this case, we show that g cannot be a reflection. In this case, both eigenvalues are either 1 or -1. Consider the set  $M^1(g)$  of all stationary points p with both eigenvalues of  $A_q^p$  equal to 1, and let  $M^2(g)$  be the set of all stationary points with both eigenvalues equal to -1. For points from  $M^1(g)$ ,  $A_a^p = I$ , and  $g = h^{-1} \circ h$  is identity on U, i.e. any stationary point of this type has an open neighborhood of stationary points of the same type. We conclude that  $M^1(g)$  is open. At any stationary point pfrom  $M^2(g)$ ,  $A_g^p$  is -I, i.e. g has a single stationary point in U(p itself):  $M^2(g)$  consists of isolated points. On the other hand, the set of all stationary points  $M(g) = M^1(g) \cup M^2(g)$  is closed, as the limit of any sequence of stationary points is stationary by continuity of g. The limit of a sequence of points from  $M^1(g)$  has to be a point from  $M^1(g)$ , as all points in  $M^2(g)$  are isolated, so the limit of points in  $M^1(g)$  is also in  $M^1(g)$ . We conclude that  $M^1(g)$  is both open and closed. As we consider connected surfaces, an open/closed subset of an open surface has to be either empty or the whole surface. In the former case,  $M(g) = M^2(g)$ , i.e. the stationary set consists of isolated points. A set of isolated points cannot separate the nonstatationary subset into two disconnected components, so we conclude that this case is not possible for generalized reflections. In the latter case  $(M(q) = M^1(q))$  is the whole surface), the map g is an identity, i.e. this case is not possible for reflections either.

Orientation-reversing g. If g is orientation-reversing, at every stationary point, its differential Dg and linear form A has eigenvalues 1 and -1, and in h(U) the stationary set of A is a line  $\ell$ , corresponding to the stationary curve  $h^{-1}(\ell)$  of g. As this holds for any stationary point, the stationary curve can be extended indefinitely to an embedding of the real line or a circle in M, forming a connected component of the stationary set. As the stationary set is closed, its connected components are also closed. But an embedding of a real line in a compact manifold cannot be closed; we conclude that the stationary set consists of embeddings of circles.

Consider a point p in one of the connected components  $M_1$  of the non-stationary set M' of M, mapped to a component  $M_2$ . Consider the set of all points in  $M_1$  mapped to  $M_2$ , i.e.  $M_1 \cap g^{-1}(M_2)$ . As  $M_2$  is both open and closed in M', so is  $g^{-1}(M_2)$  by continuity of g. Thus,  $M_1 \cap g^{-1}(M_2)$  is also open and closed, so it has to coincide with all of  $M_1$  as  $M_1$  is connected, i.e.  $g(M_1) \in M_2$ . As g(g(p)) is p, by a similar argument,  $g(M_2) \in M_1$ , so  $M_2$  and  $M_1$  are mapped to each other, and  $g(M_1) = M_2$ . Consider a point p on the boundary of  $M_1$ . As locally g acts as a linear reflection, mapping one part of the neighborhood U of p to the other, U has to consist of two disconnected parts from  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , i.e., any point on the boundary of  $M_1$  separates it from  $M_2$ . Then the union of

 $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and their boundary is closed in M and has no boundary, i.e., it has to coincide with M.

**Proof of Lemma 1.** By Proposition 2, the differential  $Dg_p$  at a stationary point p has two eigenvalues -1 and 1 (see proof above). Let  $e_1$  be the eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue 1:  $e_1$  is a stationary direction of  $Dg_p$ . Now let us assume a change of coordinate system on  $T_p$  that aligns the first coordinate axis to  $e_1$ . If we express  $Dg_p$  with respect to the new frame, it must necessarily have the form:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & c \\ 0 & d \end{array}\right].$$

Since  $\det Dg_p = -1$  we necessarily have d = -1.

**Proof of Corollary 3.** Let  $g:M\to M$  be a diffeomorphism such that  $g^2 = Id$ , M has sphere topology. As the stationary set partitions M into two connected domains, each has to be a disk, and so the curve is a topological circle (as it bounds a disk). Let  $b:M\to S$  be a one-to-one mapping from the surface to a sphere. Let  $\phi: S \to S$  be a homeomorphism of the sphere to itself that maps the stationary set of  $b \circ g \circ b^{-1}$  to a great circle. It follows that  $\phi \circ b \circ g \circ b^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}$  has the circle as the stationary line. There is a stereographic projection P from the sphere to the plane mapping this circle to a line, say the x axis. Let  $h = P \circ \phi \circ b \circ g \circ b^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}$  $P^{-1}$ , this is a homeomorphism from  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  to  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that the x-axis is stationary, and it swaps two halves of the plane. Clearly,  $h^2 = Id$ . Let R be the reflection of the plane that maps y to -y. Then  $R \circ h$ is a homeomorphism that maps each half-plane to itself. Let  $H_1$ and  $H_2$  be the two half-planes. Define the coordinate change f on the plane as Id on  $H_1$ , and  $R \circ h$  on  $H_2$ . Then for x in  $H_1$ , h(x) = $h \circ R \circ R \circ Id = h^{-1} \circ R^{-1} \circ R \circ Id = (Rh)^{-1} \circ R \circ Id = f^{-1} \circ R \circ f,$ and for  $x \in H_2$ , again,  $h(x) = Id \circ R \circ R \circ h = f^{-1} \circ R \circ f$ , in other words, we got the factorization we wanted.

**Proof of Lemma 4.** Using the expression for  $R^g$ , we observe that it defines an analytic dependence of  $R^g$  on Dg, unless  $det(Dg+Dg^T-Tr(Dg)I)=0$ , which, as can be seen by direct calculation, only happens if Dg is a similarity transformation. However, as Dg is orientation-reversing, this is not possible. Since  $g^2=Id$  then  $Dg_{g(p)}Dg_p=I$ . Since at a point p,  $Dg_p=R^gS^g$ , then  $Dg_{g(p)}=Dg_p^{-1}=S^{g^{-1}}(R^g)^T=(R^g)^TS'$  with  $S'=R^gS^{g^{-1}}(R^g)^T$  symmetric positive definite, so the closest orthogonal transform to  $Dg_{g(p)}$  is  $R^g(p)^T$ , which implies the second statement of the lemma.

**Proof of Proposition 6.** Let us assume v is not singular at p, and let w be one of the N vectors of v(p). Since v is stationary (as a N-symmetry field) for  $R^g$ , then  $R^g w$  must also be one of the vectors of v(p), i.e., w and  $R^g w$  must form an angle of  $2k\pi/N$  for some integer  $k=0,\ldots,N-1$ . Since  $R^g$  is a pure reflection about an axis t, this may happen only if w and t form an angle of  $k\pi/N$ .

## References

MONTGOMERY, D., AND ZIPPIN, L. 1955. *Topological transformation groups*, vol. 1. Interscience Publishers New York.